

Anti-Racism Working Group: Exploring the Results of an Interdisciplinary Partnership at a Large Public University

Brie Radis, DSW, MSS, MLSP, LCSW, Anaïs Bailly LMSW Megan A. Mraz, BSN, MSN, Ph. D, Benjamin S. Morgan, MA, Donette Gordon, BSW
West Chester University



Abstract

Higher education institutions fail to address ongoing systemic racism within their classrooms, boardrooms, and commons when university personnel and students are not prepared to discuss racism and structural inequalities within the campus community. To address this at a public, Predominantly White Institution (PWI), a group of students, staff, and faculty developed an action-oriented community to increase awareness and advocacy efforts against systemic and micro-level racism. Founded by faculty in the University's BSW and MSW programs, the Antiracism Working Group (ARWG) comprises faculty, staff, and students from multiple university departments. This paper discusses and disseminates research about ARWG's inaugural year and early assessments of the program. Data includes responses from students who attended ARWG workshops. Students reported that these workshops helped their perception and self-awareness around race, privilege, and taking antiracist actions.

Introduction

- While university racial climate data acknowledges the challenges BIPOC-identifying students experience at West Chester University (WCU), university personnel and students are often not prepared to dialogue about racism and structural inequalities in the campus community.
- Universities have an institutional responsibility to prepare students, staff, and faculty with strategies and knowledge to reduce racism both directly and structurally to navigate challenging discussions and achieve institutional change (Walls & Hall, 2018).
- One such outcome of this commitment was the formation of the WCU's Anti-Racism Working Group (ARWG).



Cohort 1 members - 2019

Study Aim

To evaluate the efficacy of our ARWG workshops and group member's experiences.

Word cloud:
What was the most beneficial part of the workshop?



Methods

- Morse's (Morse, 2010) Sequential Qualitative Mixed Methods Design was utilized.
- Data from multiple participants (n=200) and facilitators (n=10) across a year was evaluated.
- A deductive a priori coding in Phase 1 was used to identify areas of success with implementing the principles of anti-racist training.
- In Phase 2, an inductive analysis of the survey results with the qualitative open text responses was conducted.

Results

- Participants found the workshop to be meaningful and useful to their conceptualization and self-awareness around race and privilege.
- Participant feedback revealed a desire for longer workshops and access to more leadership possibilities and opportunities to continue the work.
- Facilitators and ARWG group members (n=10) described how rich and meaningful it was hearing the perspectives and narratives of other workshop participants.
- Both facilitators and participants indicated an increased awareness of and ability to engage in productive discussions related to race, ethnicity, bias, power, and privilege.
- One point of divergence between facilitators and participants was in their reflections on future anti-racist action. Whereas workshop participants focused on individual actions towards anti-racist action, facilitators had a more expansive view of thinking about cross-discipline, cross-campus professional relationships that could move forward anti-racist efforts at the university.

Comments

My experiences with ARWG allowed me to explore opportunities of working towards a goal of inclusion and equality, identifying obstacles to these goals, and presenting them in a way which fosters listening, growth, and change." -Facilitator

Have a class about race be part of general ed! I'm tired of hearing the word diversity thrown around." -Participant



Conclusion

- The American Academy of Social Work & Social Welfare's Grand Challenges for Social Work include a call for creating a just society by eliminating racism in a way that focuses on culturally responsive prevention and interventions (Grand Challenges of Social Work, 2020).
- This call, and the action of ARWG, is bolstered by the tenets of CRT, which guide work in anti-racism through the lens of intersectionality, acknowledging that our socialization into racism, sexism, and classism naturally inhibits our ability to meet such challenges without intentionality (Crenshaw, 2003).
- Analysis of the data from both participants and facilitators during the inaugural year of the ARWG would indicate that this call is being addressed and that we are headed in the right direction in meeting this challenge.

References

- Crenshaw, K. (2003). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. In A. K. Wing (Ed.), *Critical race feminism* (pp. 23–33). New York: New York University Press.
- Grand Challenges of Social Work. (2020, June 26). Grand Challenges for Social Work Announces Grand Challenge to Eliminate Racism [Press release]. <https://grandchallengesforsocialwork.org/grand-challenges-for-social-work/announcing-the-grand-challenge-to-eliminate-racism/>
- Morse, J. M. (2010). Simultaneous and sequential qualitative mixed method designs. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(6), 483-491. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800410364741>
- Radis, B., Bailly, A., Brumley, B. P., Keeler, C., Morgan, B. S., Mraz, M. A., Ocean, M., Styles O'Neal, G., & Wysor Nguema, S. R. (in press). Anti-racism working group: Exploring the results of an interdisciplinary partnership at a large public university. *Advances in Social Work*.
- Walls and Hall, (2018) A focus group study of African American students' experiences with classroom discussions about race at a predominantly White university. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 23(1), 47-62.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to all the students, faculty, and staff who participated in this research and to our funding source, the University's Diversity Forum grant.

groups world Discussing people
stereotypes pros activity action atmosphere
views conversation class way essential
negative speak topic said understood enjoyed
message people's well Perspectives saying
steps beneficial doing anti
challenges aspect Made activities schools
Hearing most different country/world
topics Learning good some racist
Equity educational group degrade
alert Never think ok positive allowing space
Interactive thought vs everyone today open
Thinking feel each Loved honest like safe Coming
call school random workshop helps
Monolithic history discussion video welcoming
cultures other racism race between
resources improve Knowing Everything change taking
resourceful strengthen videos general behind
presenters sense Equality